Learning Probabilistic Programs

Xin Zhang Peking University

Recap of Last Lecture

- Evaluation-based inference
	- Dynamic
	- Can deal with programs with unbounded loops

Likelihood Weighting

• A form of importance sampling where the proposal is the prior

$$
\mathbb{E}_{q(X)}\left[\frac{p(X|Y)}{q(X)}r(X)\right] = \frac{1}{p(Y)}\mathbb{E}_{q(X)}\left[\frac{p(Y,X)}{q(X)}r(X)\right]
$$

$$
\simeq \frac{1}{p(Y)}\frac{1}{L}\sum_{l=1}^{L}W^{l}r(X^{l}),
$$

$$
W^{l} = \frac{p(Y, X^{l})}{q(X^{l})} = \frac{p(Y|X^{l})p(X^{l})}{p(X^{l})} = p(Y|X^{l})
$$
 If we use $p(X^{l})$ as the
proposal distribution

Y are observed/conditioned variables

Likelihood Weighting: Variants

• Naïve Metropolis Hasting (draw random traces)

• Single-site proposal (try to only chance one variable at a time)

Sequential Monte Carlo

- In probabilistic programming, sample a high-dimensional distribution by sampling a sequence of lower dimensional distributions
- Also called particle filters
- Used in signal processing and probabilistic inference

SMC: Problem Statement

Given

Estimate

 $p(x_0)$ and $p(x_t | x_{t-1})$ and $p(y_t|x_t)$ and Observations $y_{1:t}$

 $p(x_{0:t}|y_{1:t})$ or $p(x_t|y_{1:t})$ or $I(f_t) = E_{p(x_{0:t}|y_{1:t})}[f_t(x_{0:t})] = \int f_t(x_{0:t}) p(x_{0:t}|y_{1:t}) dx_{0:t}$

SMC: Main Ideas

• Sample on the Markov chain:

$$
\pi\left(\left.\mathbf{x}_{0:t}\right|\mathbf{y}_{1:t}\right)=\pi\left(\left.\mathbf{x}_{0:t-1}\right|\mathbf{y}_{1:t-1}\right)\pi\left(\left.\mathbf{x}_{t}\right|\mathbf{x}_{0:t-1},\mathbf{y}_{1:t}\right).
$$

- Reweight the samples using importance sampling
- Throw away the samples (particles) with low probabilities

 $i=1,...,N=10$ particles

From "An Introduction to Sequential Monte Carlo Methods" by Arnaud Doucet, Nando De Freitas, and Neil Gordon

SMC: Bootstrap Filter

Assume the proposal distribution is $p(x_{1}+)$

- 1. Initialization. $T = 0$
	- For $i = 1,...,N$, sample x_0^{\prime} (i) $\sim p(x_0)$ and set $t = 1$
- 2. Importance sampling step.
	- For sample \tilde{x}_t^{C} (i) $\sim p(x_t|\tilde{x}_{t-1}^{(i)})$ and set $(\tilde{x}_{0:t-1}^{(i)})$, $\tilde{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{(}}_t$ (i)).
	- For $i = 1,...,N$, evaluate the importance weights.
	- Normalize the importance weights
- 3. Selection step
	- Resample with replacement N particles from the current particles according to importance weights
	- Set $t \to t + 1$

• In evaluation-based method, if the sampled trace doesn't terminate, what would you do in practice?

• Consider the program $x =$ unform(0, 1); $y =$ gaussian(x, 1). Suppose the current trace is $x = 0.5$, $y = 1$. Now we want to change y, what is p(y) that we're sampling from?

• What if we want to change x?

• Consider the program

 $x = 0;$

while(bernoulli(0.5)); $x+=1$ condition($x > 2$)

• Describe an algorithm to sample traces from it.

• Sequential Monte Carlo can be see as a variant of importance sampling. Is the statement right?

• What would happen if we don't throw away particles in sequential Monte Carlo?

This Lecture

- Learning in probabilistic programming
	- Still an active research area
	- Not a solved problem

• Can you define inference and learning?

Inference vs. Learning

• Inference: given $f | \theta$, run $f | \theta$ to output data

• Learning: given $f | \theta$, and data D, figure out θ

Inference vs. Learning

- Inference is often a part of learning
	- Example: perform inference with different parameters

Inference vs. Learning

• Inference is often a part of learning

$$
p = \text{bernoulli}(1)
$$

$$
D = [\dots]
$$

if $p == 1$:
 $m = \text{model1}$
else:

 $m =$ model2

for (x,y) in D; condition(m(x)+N(0,0.1) == y)

output m

Learning in Probabilistic Programming

• Parameter learning

 $x =$ uniform(p1, p2) $y =$ gaussian(x, p3) if(bernoulli(p4)) $z = x$ else $z = v$ condition($z > 100$)

What are p1, p2, p3, p4?

Learning in Probabilistic Programming

• Structure learning

 $x =$ uniform(p1, p2) $y =$ gaussian(x, p3) if(bernoulli(p4)) $z = x$ else $z = y$ condition($z > 100$)

More on Structure Learning

• How to synthesize (deterministic) programs is an active field

- Program synthesis
	- Started early
	- Still under development
	- Works well in specific settings

Program Synthesis

- Given a specification, generates a program that satisfies the specification
- Main challenge: intractable search space

- Various approach to cut the search space
	- Sketch
	- SyGuS (Syntax-Guided Synthesis)

Program Synthesis: Sketch

if $(x > ??)$ $y = 100$ else $y = ??$ output x^*x+y^*y

 $x = 1, o = 100$ $x = 10$, $o = 1000$

Program Synthesis SyGuS

Syntax Constraints:

$$
e \coloneqq input \mid e + e \mid e * e \mid e - e \mid e / e
$$

Semantic Constraints:

$$
e(2) = 100
$$

$$
e(5) = 700
$$

…

The semantics constraints can be more high-level than input-out examples. For example, the output of a sorting algorithm is sorted.

More on Program Synthesis

• https://people.csail.mit.edu/asolar/SynthesisCo

· https://xiongyingfei.github.io/SA/2020/main.htm

A Possible Pipeline to Synthesize Probabilistic Programs

Two Typical Approaches

- Non-Bayesian method (Maximum Likelihood)
	- Kevin Ellis, Armando Solar-Lezama, Joshua B. Tenenbaum: Unsupervised Learning by Program Synthesis. NIPS 2015.
- Bayesian method
	- Feras A. Saad, Marco F. Cusumano-Towner, Ulrich Schaechtle, Martin C. Rinard, Vikash K. Mansinghka: Bayesian Synthesis of Probabilistic Programs for Automatic Data Modeling. POPL 19.

Ellis et al., 2015: Motivations

- Goal: unsupervised learning
	- Induce good latent representations of a data set
- Programs are a natural knowledge representation for many domains
	- Compression: find smallest representation
	- Infer both programs and inputs
- General solution is hard
	- Encode domain-specific parts using a DSL

Key Ideas

• Using PCFG to limit the program space

• Symbolic search: SMT

Problem Formalization

Minimize

$$
-\log P_f(f) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\log P_{x|z}(x_i|f(I_i)) - \log P_I(I_i) \right)
$$

program length data reconstruction error data encoding length

 f is drawn from a prior determined by the sketch

I is drawn from a domain-dependent description length prior P_I , which leads to $z_i = f(I_i)$. $P_{x|z}(* |z_i)$ estimates the error between predictions and observations.

Program is largely deterministic, but inputs are random. Also, going from z to x is a random process (manually specified)

Defining a Program Space

- Probabilistic context-free grammar (PCFG)
	- Place probabilities on production rules

$$
\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E} \mid \mathbb{R} \mid x
$$

• Define denotations for each rule using SMT

 $\|\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2\|(I) = \|\mathcal{E}_1\|(I) + \|\mathcal{E}_2\|(I)$ $[r \in \mathbb{R}](I) = r$ $[x](I) = I$

• We can use SMT expression to denote the synthesis problem

Solution

- Construct an SMT that
	- Defines the space of programs
	- Computes the description length
	- Computes the output given an input and a program

• Use SMT to perform linear search on the loss function

More on SMT

- Satisfiability modulo theories
	- Generalizes SAT such that each clause can contain real numbers, integers, strings, quantifiers …
- Highly expressive, but its solvers only scale under well-defined scenarios

• Representative solver: z3 from Microsoft

Example: Visual Concept Learning

- Space of programs: simple graphic programs that control a turtle
	- Rotations

…

- Forward movement
- Rescaling of shapes

- Program outputs: image parses
	- A list of shapes $\leq id$, scale, x, y>
	- A list of containment relationships (i, j)
	- A list of reflexive borders relations borders (i, j)

Example: Visual Concept Learning

- Program inputs:
	- Shapes
	- Positions
	- Movement lengths and angles
	- Scales
- A noise model $P_{x|z}(*)$ +) that specifies how an output z produces a parse x
	- Positions (add uniform random noise)
	- Optional borders and contains relations are erased with half chance
	- The indices/orders of shapes are randomly permuted

Example: Visual Concept Learning

Example Program


```
teleport (position [0],
         initialOrientation)
draw(shape[0], scale = 1)move(distance[0], 0deg)
draw(shape[0], scale = scale[0])move (distance[0], Odeg)draw(shape[0], scale = scale[0])
```
Conclusion on Ellis et al., 2015

• Manually separated the deterministic part from the probabilistic part

• Convert the problem into an optimization problem by maximizing likelihood and minimizing encoding lengths

Overview: Saad et al., 2019

- Feras A. Saad, Marco F. Cusumano-Towner, Ulrich Schaechtle, Martin C. Rinard, Vikash K. Mansinghka: Bayesian Synthesis of Probabilistic Programs for Automatic Data Modeling. POPL 19.
- Usage: generate probabilistic programs as generative models of data
- A prior over distribution of programs; conditioning on the observed data, to infer the posterior distribution of the program

Overview of the Framework

From the Original Paper

Details of the Approach

• https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T5fdUmYJsjM

More on Gaussian Process

- A distribution over functions (from x to y)
- Non-parametric model
	- With infinite many parameters
- The function can be seen as vector which is drawn from a big correlated Gaussian distribution
	- Specified by covariance functions

How to Sample Programs?

• MCMC (Metropolis-Hasting)

• Prior distribution: specified by the PCFG

• Accepting probability: correlates to likelihood

Conclusion on Saad et al., 2019

- A general Bayesian framework to handle different types of synthesis problems
	- Parameterized by the DSL

- Synthesize full programs in Bayesian manner
	- Scalability might be a problem
	- Choosing DSLs and priors are the key

Next Lecture

• Probabilistic Logic Programming